Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claims 1 (currently amended): A method for routing telecommunications traffic between a network and a sub-network, the sub-network including: (a) a master router; and (b) a back up router, wherein the master and the back up routers in which routing devices of the sub-network route the traffic in the sub-network according to a virtual router redundancy protocol, the method comprising the steps of:

setting criteria that relates a condition of the network to the redundancy protocol of the sub-network;

configuring the master router to:

- (a) monitor the criteria; and
- (b) trigger switching between the master router and the back up router based on the criteria.

triggering switching between the routing devices of the sub-network on the basis of the criteria.

Claim 2 (currently amended): The method according to claim 1, wherein the criteria relates an interruption in a link of a router interface between the network and the sub-network to switching of the router devices according to the <u>virtual router</u> redundancy protocol.

Claim 3 (currently amended): The method according to claim 1, wherein the criteria relates a number of bit failures of a router interface between the network and the sub-network to switching of the router devices according to the <u>virtual router</u> redundancy protocol.

Claim 4 (currently amended): The method according to claim 2, wherein the criteria relates a number of bit failures of a router interface between the network and the sub-network to switching of the router devices according to the <u>virtual router</u> redundancy protocol.

Claim 5 (currently amended): The method according to claim 1, wherein the criteria relates traffic load of a router interface between the network and the sub-network to switching of the router devices according to the <u>virtual router</u> redundancy protocol.

Claim 6 (currently amended): The method according to claim 2, wherein the criteria relates traffic load of a router interface between the network and the sub-network to switching of the router devices according to the <u>virtual router</u> redundancy protocol.

Claim 7 (currently amended): The method according to claim 3, .wherein the criteria relates traffic load of a router interface between the network and the sub-network to switching of the router devices according to the <u>virtual router</u> redundancy protocol.

Claim 8 (currently amended): The method according to claim 1, wherein the criteria relates an availability of a router interface between the network and the subnetwork according to a routing table coupled to the network to switching of the router devices according to the <u>virtual router</u> redundancy protocol.

Claim 9 (currently amended): The method according to claim 2, wherein the criteria relates an availability of a router interface between the network and the subnetwork according to a routing table coupled to the network to switching of the router devices according to the <u>virtual router</u> redundancy protocol.

Claim 10 (currently amended): The method according to claim 3, wherein the criteria relates an availability of a router interface between the network and the sub-

network according to a routing table coupled to the network to switching of the router devices according to the <u>virtual router</u> redundancy protocol.

Claim 11 (currently amended): The method according to claim 5, wherein the criteria relates an availability of a router interface between the network and the subnetwork according to a routing table coupled to the network to switching of the router devices according to the <u>virtual router</u> redundancy protocol.

Claim 12 (currently amended): The method according to claim 1, wherein the criteria relates a number of entries in a routing table coupled to the network to switching of the router devices according to the <u>virtual router</u> redundancy protocol.

Claim 13 (currently amended): The method according to claim 2, wherein the criteria relates a number of entries in a routing table coupled to the network to switching of the router devices according to the <u>virtual router</u> redundancy protocol.

Claim 14 (currently amended): The method according to claim 3, wherein the criteria relates a number of entries in a routing table coupled to the network to switching of the router devices according to the <u>virtual router</u> redundancy protocol.

Claim 15 (currently amended): The method according to claim 1, wherein the criteria relates a load of a processor involved in routing the telecommunications traffic to switching of the router devices according to the <u>virtual router</u> redundancy protocol.

Claim 16 (currently amended): The method according to claim 1, wherein the criteria relates a number of resources of the network available to switching of the router devices according to the <u>virtual router</u> redundancy protocol.

Claim 17 (currently amended): A system for routing telecommunications traffic, the system comprising:

a network for <u>transceiving sending and/or receiving</u>-the telecommunications traffic;

a sub-network for <u>transceiving receiving and/or sending</u> the telecommunications traffic <u>with from or/to</u> the network;

a master router and a back up router, wherein the master router and the back up router are configured to route routing devices for routing the telecommunications traffic in the sub-network according to a <u>virtual router</u> redundancy protocol; and

a criteria that relates a condition of the network to the <u>virtual router</u> redundancy protocol, <u>the master router configured to monitor the criteria</u> thereby causing the <u>master router to trigger switching between the master router and the back up router routing devices</u> to route the telecommunications traffic according to the condition in the network.

Claim 18 (original): The system according to claim 17, wherein the network is an Internet Protocol network.

Claim 19 (canceled).